

REMARKS

The Office asserts that the document filed on November 29, 2007 is non-compliant because “Claim 5 contains newly added text that is not properly identified by underlining” and because “Claim 7, line 13: the work “are” (following the deleted word “and”) is newly added and should be indicated as such by underlining. (Office Communication, page 3).

Applicant has changed the underlining in claims 5 and 7 as required by the Office. Applicant also amends the claims to avoid any antecedent basis issues. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully requests withdrawal of the Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment.

CONCLUSION

The Office should withdraw the Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment. All of the claims believed to be in condition for allowance. If the Office's next anticipated action is to be anything other than issuance of a Notice of Allowability, Applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner call the undersigned attorney in order to resolve any outstanding issues.

Respectfully Submitted,

Lee & Hayes, PLLC

Dated: May 23, 2008

By: /Benjamin Keim/
Benjamin A. Keim
Reg. No. 59,217
(509) 324-9256 x248

Shirley L. Anderson
Reg. No. 57,763
(509) 324-9256 x258